UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,231	04/29/2005	Yasuhito Yuasa	10873.1685USWO	5067
52835 7590 04/01/2008 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			BURNEY, RACHEL L	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,231	YUASA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel L. Burney	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 De	ecember 2007.					
	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	· <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
, <u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>April</i> 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1795

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 12/31/2007 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,231

Art Unit: 1795

4. Claims 1, 6, 11, 12, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6579653, Yuasa et al. in view of US PGPub 2002/0064724, Nakamura et al.

Page 3

With respect to claim 1, Yuasa discloses a two-component developer comprising a carrier that comprises a core coated with a resin (column 40, lines 1-3) the resin is a curing type silicone resin (column 40, lines 61-62) which contains an aminosilane coupling agent (column 26, lines 26-30), and a toner which contains a binder resin, a colorant, a fixing adjuvant, and an additive (column 11, lines 26-29) where the fixing adjuvant is carnauba wax (column 17, line 42 – column 18, line 31), which is the same wax used in the instant specification, see page 17, lines 19-28. Yuasa does not disclose the fluorine-modified silicone resin of the instant application. Nakamura discloses a toner having a binder, a coloring agent, and a charge controlling agent (PP 0098) (a wax) wherein the toner is in a two-component developer (PP 0017) containing a carrier with a core particle that is coated with a resin (PP 0018) wherein the resin is a cure-type fluorine-modified silicone resin (PP 0021). This resin improves the lifetime of the printing performance (PP 0061). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the cure-type fluorine-modified silicone resin of Nakamura as the curing silicone resin of Yuasa to improve the lifetime of the printing performance.

With respect to claim 6, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, wherein the metal oxide fine powder has an average particle size of 20-2000nm (column 39, lines 1-2) in an amount from 0.5 to 5 parts by weight (column 24, 12-21).

With respect to claim 11, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, but does not disclose the amount by weight of the aminosilane coupling agent in the weight of the coating resin, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to find an amount that is workable in the developer, which could reasonably fall in the large range of 5 to 40%.

With respect to claim 12, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, wherein the toner is present in an amount of 1-10 wt% (Nakamura, PP 0100), leaving 90-99% for the carrier.

With respect to claims 14-16, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, wherein the fluorine-modified silicone resin is obtained by reacting a perfluoroalkyl group-containing organosilicon compound selected from CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>F<sub>9</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Si(CH<sub>3</sub>)(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,

 $C_8F_{17}CH_2CH_2Si(OCH_3)_3$ ,  $C_8F_{17}CH_2CH_2Si(OC_2H_5)_3$ , and

(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CF(CF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>8</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Si(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (PP 0080); and a polyorganosiloxane having the formula:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & R_1 \\
 & S_1 \\
 & R_2
\end{array}$$

Art Unit: 1795

or

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
R_1 \\
O & S_i
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
O & S_i
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
O & S_i
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
O & S_i
\end{array}$$

wherein  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  independently represent hydrogen, halogen, hydroxy, methoxy,  $C_1$ - $C_4$  alkyl, organic group such as phenyl group or the like (PP 0078 and 0079).

With respect to claim 17, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 14 as discussed above, but does not disclose the amount by weight of the polyorganosiloxane in the weight of the perfluoroalkyl group-containing organosilicon, but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to find an amount that is workable in the developer, which could reasonably fall in the range of 3 to 20%.

With respect to claim 18, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, wherein the aminosilane coupling agent is  $\gamma$ -(2-aminoethyl) aminopropylmethyldimethoxysilane (column 26, lines 26-43).

5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6575963, Yuasa et al. in view of US PGPub 2002/0064724, Nakamura et al.

Art Unit: 1795

as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US PGPub 2003/0152856, Mizoe et al.

With respect to claim 2, Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, but fails to teach the synthetic wax of (A). Mizoe discloses a toner comprising a binder resin, colorant, and an additive (PP 0064) and a Fischer-Tropsche wax (PP 0192) wherein the wax has a DCS heatabsorption main peak of 60-140°C and an acid value of 50 mgKOH/g (PP 0194) which is a block copolymer of brassidic acid, a long-chain alkyl alcohol, and a hydrocarbon wax (PP 0192). The toner has an inorganic find powder (PP 0038) that has an average size of 4-80nm in an amount of 0.1-8% (PP 0140). The wax of Mizoe is a release agent (PP 0192). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the wax of Mizoe in the toner of Yuasa and Nakamura as a release agent.

With respect to claim 3, Yuasa modified by Nakamura further modified by Mizoe discloses the developer of claim 2 as discussed above, but fails to teach the molecular weight profile of the wax. Since the wax is a similar wax as that used in the instant application, it would be reasonable to conclude that the molecular weight profile of the wax would be substantially similar to that of the instant application.

6. Claims 4, 5, 7, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6575963, Yuasa et al. in view of US PGPub

2002/0064724, Nakamura et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US PGPub 2002/0086229, Yuasa et al.

With respect to claims 4 and 7, Yuasa '963 modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, but fails to teach the wax of (B) or (D). Yuasa '229 discloses a two-component developer comprising a toner comprising a additive, a wax, and a binding resin (PP 0013) and a carrier having a core and a coating resin (PP 0056) wherein the wax is an ester wax having an iodine value of less than 25 and a saponification value of 30-300 (PP 0021) or the wax is a derivative of glycol fatty acid esters or sorbitan fatty acid esters (PP 0100). The ester based waxes serve as a fixing assistant for improving the fixability (PP 0095). The toner of Yuasa '229 has an inorganic fine powder (PP 0037) wherein the powder has an average particle diameter of 5 to 100 nm (PP 0041) and is present in an amount of .1-10 % (PP 0042). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the wax of Yuasa '229 in the toner of Yuasa '963 and Nakamura as a fixing assistant for improving the fixability.

With respect to claim 5, Yuasa '963 modified by Nakamura further modified by Yuasa '229 discloses the developer of claim 4 as discussed above, wherein the number average molecular weight Mn is100 to 5000, the weight average molecular weight Mw is 200 to 10000, and Mw/Mn of not more than 8, a heating loss at 220.degree (claim 1). Yuasa '229 does not give the full molecular weight profile as disclosed by the instant application, but gives enough of the profile to

suggest that the measurements not given above would also be substantially similar.

With respect to claim 13, Yuasa '963 modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, but fails to teach the amount of the additive.

Yuasa '229 teaches that the additive should be present in an amount of 0.1-6% by weight (PP 0169).

7. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6575963, Yuasa et al. in view of US PGPub 2002/0064724, Nakamura et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent 6117607, Shimizu et al. Yuasa modified by Nakamura discloses the developer of claim 1 as discussed above, but fails to teach multiple inorganic powders. Shimizu teaches multiple inorganic fine powders having a weight ratio of 50/50 to 10/90 of positively charged inorganic powders to negatively charged fine powders (column 3, lines 25-33) wherein the total makes about 1.3 wt% of the toner (table 2, column 14, lines 15-35) which would make the amounts of the individual inorganic powders substantially similar to those in the instant application. The inorganic powders are broken into multiple groups depending on size, the first group has an average size of 30-120nm and the second group is less than 20nm (column 3, lines 34-39). Shimizu does not discuss the ignition loss of the inorganic fine powders, but since it is a similar product in a similar embodiment, it is reasonable to conclude that the ignition losses would be substantially similar to that of the instant application. The multiple inorganic fine particles make it possible to

substantially eliminate problems inherent in nonmagnetic development (column 2, lines 55-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the multiple inorganic powders of Shimizu in the toner of Yuasa and Nakamura it eliminate problems inherent in nonmagnetic development.

# Response to Arguments

### Information Disclosure Statement

8. In view of the amendments and arguments filed 12/21/2007, the objections to the IDSs have been withdrawn.

## Specification

9. In view of the amendments to the specification, filed 12/21/2007, the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. Applicant's arguments filed 12/31/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Yuasa does not have the fluorine-modified silicone resin or the particular wax as required by claim 1 and that the fluorine-modified

silicone resin of Nakamura is different than the fluorine-modified silicone resin of the instant application and therefore does not complete the deficiencies of Yuasa. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Yuasa does not disclose a fluorine-modified silicone resin, however it would have been obvious for reasons stated above to use the fluorine-modified silicone resin of Nakamura in the toner of Yuasa. The claims, as written, do not require a particular fluorine-modified silicone resin, so any fluorine-modified silicone resin would read on the claims, including that of Nakamura. The examiner has pointed to the 'fixing adjuvant' of Yuasa as the wax, a specific example of the fixing adjuvant is carnauba wax, as discussed above, which is the same as the wax of the instant specification. The examiner asserts that the wax of Yuasa would therefore have the same properties as the wax of the instant application.

### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1795

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel L. Burney whose telephone number is (571)272-

9802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs: 7:30-6:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**RLB** 

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795